

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 21, 2001
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

5th Dist.
F034825

Robert Mastro, Plaintiff and Appellant

v.

Steve Petrick, Defendant and Respondent

The time for granting or denying review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including December 27, 2001.
Rule 28(a)(1), California Rules of Court.

S096088

Whitmore Union Elementary School District, Plaintiff and Appellant

v.

County of Shasta et al., Defendants and Respondents

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including November 27, 2001.

S098218

People, Plaintiff and Appellant

v.

Jeanie Louise Adair, Defendant and Respondent

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including December 6, 2001.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S099339

Darlene Bonanno, an Incompetent Person, etc.,
Plaintiff and Respondent

v.

Central Contra Costa Transit Authority, Defendant and Appellant
And Companion Case

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to and including December 19, 2001.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S099962 Alpha Therapeutic Corporation, Plaintiff and Appellant

v.

The Home Insurance Company et al., Defendants and Respondents
And Companion Cases

Under rule 29.3 of the California Rules of Court, the times stated in rule 29.3 for filing briefs in the Supreme Court “may be extended only by order of the Chief Justice under rule 45, and not by stipulation.”

For good cause shown, the Joint Application for Extension of Briefing Schedule, filed November 5, 2001, is granted in part, as follows:

(a) The time to file defendants and respondents’ opening brief on the merits is extended to and including January 15, 2002.

(b) The time to file plaintiff and appellant’s opposition brief on the merits is extended to and including April 15, 2002.

(c) The time to file defendants and respondents’ reply brief is extended to and including May 28, 2002.

The above extensions of time are granted on the basis of the parties’ representations that no further extensions of times are intended or anticipated, and no further extensions will be granted.

Insofar as the Joint Application proposes a schedule for the filing of amicus curiae briefs, and replies thereto, the Joint Application is denied. Requests to file individual amicus curiae briefs, and the times for filing such briefs and replies thereto, shall be governed by the applicable provisions of the California Rules of Court. (See rules 14(b), 45, 45.5.)

The application of Home Insurance Company (Home), filed November 14, 2001, for clarification of its right, as a nonpetitioning party, to participate on the merits in this court is granted. Home is properly before this court as a defendant and respondent in the Court of Appeal, subject to the direct operational effect of the judgment under review.